

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A simple method of finding solutions of the nonlinear d'Alembert equation

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1992 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 L871 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/25/14/002) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.58 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 16:46

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

A simple method of finding solutions of the nonlinear d'Alembert equation

P Basarab-Horwath[†], W Fushchich[†][‡] and M Serov[‡]

 † Mathematics Department, University of Linköping, S-581 83 Linköping, Sweden
 ‡ Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Repin St. 3, 252004 Kiev 4, Ukraine

Received 23 December 1991, in final form 27 April 1992

We consider the nonlinear d'Alembert equation

$$\Box u = F(u) \tag{1}$$

where u = u(x) and $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Box = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

and F(u) is an arbitrary differentiable function. In equation (1) we make the local change of variable

$$u = \Phi(w) \tag{2}$$

where w(x) is a new unknown function and Φ is a function to be determined later. On making this change, (1) becomes

$$\dot{\Phi}\Box w + \dot{\Phi}w_{\mu}w^{\mu} = F(\Phi) \tag{3}$$

where

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\mathrm{d}w} \qquad w_{\mu}w^{\mu} = \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{0}}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2} - \dots - \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{n}}\right)^{2}.$$

Equation (3) is equivalent to the following equation

$$\dot{\Phi}\left(\Box w - \lambda \frac{\dot{P}_n}{P_n}\right) + \ddot{\Phi}\left(w_{\mu}w^{\mu} - \lambda\right) + \lambda\left(\ddot{\Phi} + \dot{\Phi}\frac{\dot{P}_n}{P_n}\right) - F(\Phi) = 0 \tag{4}$$

where $P_n(w)$ is an arbitrary polynomial of degree n in w, and $\lambda = -1, 0, 1$. Choosing Φ such that

$$\lambda \left(\ddot{\Phi} + \dot{\Phi} \frac{\dot{P}_n}{P_n} \right) = F(\Phi) \tag{5}$$

0305-4470/92/140871+07\$04.50 © 1992 IOP Publishing Ltd

L871

equation (4) becomes

$$\dot{\Phi}\left(\Box w - \lambda \frac{\dot{P}_n}{P_n}\right) + \ddot{\Phi}\left(w_\mu w^\mu - \lambda\right) = 0.$$
(6)

From this it is clear that a solution of the system

$$\Box w = \lambda \frac{P_n}{P_n} \qquad w_\mu w^\mu = \lambda \tag{7}$$

is also a solution of (6), and in this way we obtain a solution of (1) provided Φ satisfies (5). There remains, of course, the problem of the existence of solutions of (7). We have the following result.

Theorem 1. For n = 3 the system

$$\Box w = H(w) \qquad w_{\mu}w^{\mu} = \lambda$$

with $\lambda = -1, 0, 1$ is compatible if and only if

$$H(w) = \frac{\lambda N}{w+C}$$

where N = 0, 1, 2, 3 and C is an arbitrary constant.

This result follows from theorem 2 of [2]. In theorem 1 of [3], it is further shown that if the system in theorem 1 above is compatible, then it is necessarily of the type given in equation (7). Moreover, as is mentioned in [3], the system (7) is always compatible (for any n) if H(w) is as in theorem 1 above. Having discussed the question of compatibility, we now turn to equation (5), which gives us the appropriate choice of Φ . We do this for several cases of the function F(u). Note that for the remainder of this paper, we take n = 3.

Case 1. $F(u) = u^k$ with $k \neq 1$. If $P_n = w^m$ with m = 0, 1, 2, 3 then (5) becomes

$$\lambda(\ddot{\Phi} + \frac{m}{w}\dot{\Phi}) = \Phi^k.$$
(8)

Assuming Φ to be of the form

$$\Phi(w) = \alpha w^{\beta}$$

with α, β constants, we obtain

$$\Phi(w) = \left(\frac{(1-k)w}{(2\lambda(1+k+m-km))^{1/2}}\right)^{2/(1-k)}$$
(9)

as a solution of (8).

Case 2. $F(u) = \exp u$. Again using $P_n(w) = w^m$, m = 0, 1, 2, 3, equation (5) becomes

$$\lambda \left(\ddot{\Phi} + \frac{m}{w} \dot{\Phi} \right) = \exp \Phi \tag{10}$$

and we seek solutions Φ with the help of the ansatz

$$\exp\bigl(\Phi(w)\bigr) = \alpha w^{\beta}$$

with α, β constants. We obtain

$$\Phi(w) = \log\left(\frac{2\lambda(m-1)}{w^2}\right) \qquad m = 2,3.$$
(11)

Case 3. $F(u) = -\ddot{\Psi}(u)/\dot{\Psi}^3(u)$. Here we take Ψ to be an arbitrary differentiable function such that $\ddot{\Psi} \neq 0$. If we take $P_n(w) = \lambda_0 = \text{constant}$, then (5) becomes

$$\lambda \ddot{\Phi} = -\frac{\ddot{\Psi}(\Phi)}{\dot{\Psi}^3(\Phi)} \tag{12}$$

and this gives us

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\left(c_1 + \dot{\Psi}^{-2}(\Phi)\right)^{1/2}} = w + c_2 \tag{13}$$

where c_1, c_2 are two constants of integration. On choosing these two constants of integration to be zero, we obtain

$$w = \sqrt{\lambda} \Psi(\Phi)$$

as a solution of (12), and the change of variable (2) is then given by

$$w = \sqrt{\lambda}\Psi(u). \tag{14}$$

In this case of F, we have replaced Φ by another function Ψ ; we now look at some cases of Ψ .

Case 3(a). $F(u) = \lambda_1 \sin u$, where $\lambda_1 = \text{constant}$. On setting

$$\lambda_1 \sin u = -\frac{\ddot{\Psi}(u)}{\dot{\Psi}^3(u)}$$

we obtain

$$\Psi(u) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(c_1 - 2\lambda_1 \cos u)^{1/2}} + c_2. \tag{15}$$

For $c_1 = 2\lambda_2$, $c_2 = 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$ we find

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \log \tan(u/4)$$

L874 Letter to the Editor

and for $c_1 = -2\lambda_1, c_2 = 0, \lambda_1 < 0$ one obtains

$$\Psi(u)\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_1}}\tanh^{-1}\tan(u/4).$$

On putting $\lambda = |\lambda_1|$ in (14), the change of variable then takes on the form

$$u = \begin{cases} 4 \arctan \exp(w/\sqrt{\lambda_1}) & \text{for } \lambda_1 > 0\\ 4 \arctan \tanh(w/\sqrt{-\lambda_1}) & \text{for } \lambda_1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 3(b). $F(u) = \sinh u$. Integrating the equation

$$\sinh u = -rac{\hat{\Psi}(u)}{\hat{\Psi}^3(u)}$$

we obtain

$$\Psi(u) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(c_1 + 2\cosh u)^{1/2}} + c_2. \tag{16}$$

For $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 0$ one finds

$$\Psi(u) = 2 \arctan \tanh(u/4)$$

and for $c_1 = -2$, $c_2 = 0$ one gets

$$\Psi(u) = \log \tanh(u/4).$$

Then (14) gives, with $\lambda > 0$

$$u=4 anh^{-1} an(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$$

$$u = 4 \tanh^{-1} \exp(w/\sqrt{\lambda}).$$

Case 3(c). $F(u) = \sin u / \cos^3 u$. In this case, the equation

$$\frac{\sin u}{\cos^3 u} = -\frac{\Psi(u)}{\dot{\Psi}^3(u)}$$

yields

$$\Psi(u) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(c_1 + \tan^2 u)^{1/2}} + c_2. \tag{17}$$

Again, we choose values for the integration constants. For $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 0$ we find

$$\Psi(u) = \sin u$$

F(u)	Solution of (1)	System (7)
$u^k, k \neq 1$	$u = \left(\frac{(1-k)w}{\sqrt{2\lambda(1+k+m-km)}}\right)^{2/(1-k)}$ 1+k+m-km \ne 0 m = 0, 1, 2, 3	$ \qquad \qquad$
exp u	$u = \log\left(\frac{2\lambda(m-1)}{w^2}\right)$ m = 2,3	$w_{\mu}w^{\mu} = \lambda$
$-\ddot{\Psi}(u)/\dot{\Psi}^{3}(u)$	$\Psi(u)=rac{w}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\qquad\lambda>0$	ĺ
$\lambda_1 \sin u$	$u = 4 \arctan \exp(w/\sqrt{\lambda_1})$ $\lambda_1 > 0$ $u = 4 \arctan \tanh(w/\sqrt{-\lambda_1})$ $\lambda_1 < 0$	
		$\Box w = 0$
sinh u	$u = 4 \tanh^{-1} \tan(w/\sqrt{2\lambda})$ $\lambda > 0$ $u = 4 \tanh^{-1} \exp(w/\sqrt{2\lambda})$ $\lambda > 0$	$w_{\mu}w^{\mu} = \lambda$
sin u/cos ³ u	$u = \arcsin(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$ $\lambda > 0$ $u = \arcsin\exp(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$ $\lambda > 0$	

Table 1. Summary of results obtained in cases 1-3(c).

and for $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ we obtain

 $\Psi(u) = \log \sin u.$

Using (14), with $\lambda > 0$ the change of variable (2) is given by the equations

 $u = \arcsin(w/\sqrt{\lambda})$

and

$$u = \arcsin \exp(w/\sqrt{\lambda}).$$

We present our results in table 1.

We now present some results from [1] concerning the general solutions of the system

$$\Box w = \frac{m\lambda}{w} \qquad w_{\mu}w^{\mu} = \lambda \qquad n = 3.$$
 (18)

Theorem 2. The general solution of the system (18) for m = 3, $\lambda = 1$ is given by

$$w^{2} = [x_{\mu} + A_{\mu}(\tau)][x^{\mu} + A^{\mu}(\tau)]$$

where the function $\tau(x)$ is defined implicitly by the equation

$$[x_\mu + A_\mu(\tau)]B^\mu(\tau) = 0$$

and A_{μ} , B_{μ} are arbitrary differentiable functions of one variable satisfying the conditions

$$\dot{A}_{\mu}B^{\mu}=0 \qquad B_{\mu}B^{\mu}=0.$$

Theorem 3. The general solution of the system (18) for m = 0, $\lambda = -1$ is given by

$$w = A_{\mu}(\tau)x^{\mu} + f_{1}(\tau)$$
(19)

where the function $\tau = \tau(x)$ is implicitly defined by the equation

$$B_{\mu}(\tau)x^{\mu} + f_{2}(\tau) = 0 \tag{20}$$

and A_{μ} , B_{μ} , f_1 , f_2 are arbitrary functions of one variable satisfying

$$A_{\mu}A^{\mu} = -1$$
 $\dot{A}_{\mu}B^{\mu} = 0$ $A_{\mu}B^{\mu} = 0$ $B_{\mu}B^{\mu} = 0.$ (21)

The above theorems give us some general information about the solutions of (18) in particular cases. Of course, these results express the solution in terms of other functions, but now we know how to generate these functions: we have to choose A_{μ} , B_{μ} , F_1 , f_2 appropriately so as to define both τ and then w (as we do below in a particular case). In this way, we have a systematic way of obtaining solutions of (18). Our approach to the solution of the nonlinear d'Alembert equation is based on a change of variable as in (2), and a decomposition of the equation (4) for the new variable w into 'component' equations (5), (6) and (7). Equation (5) involves the change of variable and the nonlinearity F(u), whereas (7) provides us with a system which can be dealt with using theorems 1–3. The d'Alembert equation with nonlinearity $\sin u$ was discussed in [4], where a change of variable together with a decomposition of the ensuing equation was also used. The result obtained in [4] can be obtained with our results, as follows. In (20), (21) put

$$A_{\mu} = \beta_{\mu} \qquad B_{\mu} = \theta_{\mu}$$

where $\theta_{\mu} = \alpha_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu}$; α_{μ} , β_{μ} , γ_{μ} are constant vectors satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\mu}\alpha^{\mu} &= -\beta_{\mu}\beta^{\mu} = -\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} = 1\\ \alpha_{\mu}\beta^{\mu} &= \alpha_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} = \beta_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (20) then defines τ through

$$f_2(\tau) = -\theta_{\mu} x^{\mu}$$

and on choosing f_2 invertible we obtain the solution of (19)

$$w = \beta_{\mu} x^{\mu} + f(\theta_{\mu} x^{\mu}).$$

When $F(u) = -\sin u$ we obtain

Ì

$$u = 4 \arctan \exp[\beta_{\mu} x^{\mu} + f(\theta_{\mu} x^{\mu})]$$
(22)

where f is an arbitrary differentiable function. Equation (22) is the solution obtained in [4]. As can be seen, our method gives a useful way of obtaining exact solutions of nonlinear equations.

WF acknowledges support by the Swedish Natural Sciences Research Council, grant number R-RA 9423-307.

References

- [1] Fushchich V I, Zhdanov R Z and Revenko I V 1991 General solutions of the nonlinear wave equation and the eikonal equation Ukranian J. Math. 43 1420-60 (in Russian)
- [2] Fushchich V I, Zhdanov R Z and Revenko I V 1990 Compatibility and solutions of the nonlinear d'Alembert and Hamilton equations *Preprint* Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Kiev (in Russian)
- [3] Fushchich V I, Zhdanov R Z and Yehorchenko I A 1991 On the reduction of nonlinear multidimensional wave equations and compatibility of the d'Alembert-Hamilton system J. Math. Anal. Appl. 161 352-61
- [4] Ouroushev D, Martinov N and Grigorov A 1991 An approach for solving the two-dimensional sine-Gordon equation J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L527-8